

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/937,739	AMAGAI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Q. Janice Li, M.D.	1633

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Noreen Connolly, Appl. Rep.. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

### **Part II.**

#### **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner called to propose deleting "activation" in line 10, and insert a priority claim to the first sentence of the specification. Ms. Connolly agreed to make such changes by an examiner's amendment.*

### **Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.**

**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)